The state of the s



UMILLED STATE SARTMENT OF CONTRICT PALANCE AND COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRANSMARKS
WASHINGTON, O.C. 20231

GROUP 130

Paper No. 6

In re application Lend Leland C. Leber et al Serial No. 902,864

Filed: September 2, 1986 For: CABINET CONTROLLER Decision on Petition Filed July 20, 1987

MAILEO: AUG 0 6 1987

Applicants petition the Commissioner to direct the examiner to vacate the restriction requirement and examine all the claims.

Applicants argue that the examiner has placed some claims in the wrong group and that their is common subject matter between the claims of Group I and Group II.

The present case has been reviewed taking into account all of applicants comments set forth in their petition. A comparison of the claims indicates that the subject matter therein is so interwoven that they constitute a single invention with the additional limitations being a mere perfection of the basic concept. It is noted that the Examiner has not properly responded to applicants traversal.

For the reasons set forth in applicants petition and those noted above, applicants petition is hereby granted.

Since the applicants petition has been granted, the Office action of June 29, 1987 is hereby vacated. The case is being forwarded to the examiner and the examiner is directed to submit a supplemental action addressing all the claims.

Robert F. White, Director
Patent Examining Group 130
Specialized Chemical Industries
and Chemical Engineering

Hugh H. Drake P.O. Box 727 Fort Collins, CO 80522

BEST AVAILABLE COPY